NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT DIVISION ONE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE, D044218

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v. (Super. Ct. No. SCD176769)

ALMA JONES,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Laura P. Hammes, Judge. Affirmed.

A jury convicted Alma Jones of possessing methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377, subd. (a)). Jones admitted a prior strike conviction (Pen. Code, §§ 667, subds. (b)-(i), 668, 1179.12)¹ and serving a prior prison term (§§ 667.5, subd. (b), 668).

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise indicated.

The court denied a request to strike the prior strike conviction (*People v. Superior Court (Romero)* (1996) 13 Cal.4th 497) and sentenced her to prison for three years eight months: double the 16-month lower term for possessing methamphetamine with a strike prior enhanced one year for the prior prison term.

FACTS

On August 16, 2003, San Diego police officers were investigating possible criminal activity in a home. Jones was an occupant of the home when police arrived. She consented to a search. She removed the jacket she was wearing and the officers found no drugs on her person. Jones was calm while the officer was searching her person. An officer picked up the jacket to search it and Jones appeared nervous while the jacket was being searched. Jones said the jacket was not hers. Inside a tear in the jacket lining the officer found six bindles of methamphetamine.

DISCUSSION

Appointed appellate counsel has filed a brief setting forth the evidence in the superior court. Counsel presents no argument for reversal but asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738, counsel refers to as possible but not arguable issues: (1) whether the trial court erred in admitting evidence on the value of the drugs found in the jacket; (2) whether the record contains sufficient evidence that Jones had

2

knowledge the substance found in the jacket was a controlled substance; and (3) whether the trial court erred in refusing to dismiss the prior strike conviction.

We granted Jones permission to file a brief on her own behalf. She has not responded. A review of the entire record pursuant to *People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, including the possible issues referred to pursuant to *Anders v. California, supra*, 386 U.S. 738, has disclosed no reasonably arguable appellate issue. Competent counsel has represented Jones on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

The judgment is affirmed.	
	NARES, J.
WE CONCUR:	
HUFFMAN, Acting P. J.	
McDONALD, J.	